INCOSE Model-Based Capabilities Matrix and User's Guide Role-Based MBCM

| Role Based Matrix Area | Model-Based Capability Name | Stage 0 | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 | Stage 4 |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| Workforce/ culture | MBSE Use Strategy | No documented MBSE use strategy, or the strategy is described | Organization MBSE use strategy is documented as part of its | Organization MBSE use strategy is documented as part of the | Organization MBSE use strategy is documented as part of the | Organization MBSE use strategy is documented as part of the |
| | | for ad hoc efforts. Each MBSE effort is stand-alone to address | overall organizational strategy at the system level. The strategy | organization's overall strategy at the system level. The strategy | organization's overall strategy at the enterprise level. The | organization's overall strategy at the enterprise level. The |
| | | specific concerns. | is related to the overall risk strategy. | is related to the overall risk strategy. Modeling results used to | strategy is related to the overall risk strategy. Modeling is | strategy is related to the overall risk strategy. Modeling is |
| | | | | inform systems engineers across system engineering phases | integrated with business information tools and results used to | integrated with business information tools and results are use |
| | | | | and for all disciplines. | inform systems engineers, program management, and all staff | to inform systems engineers, program management, and all |
| | | | | | across the enterprise. | staff across the enterprise. It manages a full range of business |
| | | | | | | concerns. |
| Vorkforce/ culture | Common DE and MBSE Terminology | Appropriate terminology defined for the project or program. | Common Glossary/Data Dictionary. | Top Tier terminology is defined for the enterprise. | Discipline and engineering specialty terminology is added to | Common, tiered taxonomies are defined and consistent acros |
| | | | | | cover lower level models. | enterprises and consistent with accepted community standard |
| | | | | | | |
| Workforce/ culture | Modeling Roles and Responsibilities | Modeling roles and responsibilities are not identified. | Modeling roles and responsibilities are identified. | Modeling roles and responsibilities are characterized by model- | Modeling roles are provided the permissions necessary to | People who need to be active are identified and involved. |
| | | | | based Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities (KSAs). | perform their responsibilities. | Sufficient staffing and staffing plan ensures all roles are fulfille |
| | | | | | | |
| Norkforce/ culture | Modeling Development Skills | Model-based Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities (KSAs) are | Model-based Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities (KSAs) are | Model-based Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities (KSAs) are | Model-based Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities (KSAs) are | Expert model development lead that sets modeling standards |
| | | undefined and unknown. None, or ad hoc for all staff. | defined for modelers. Modeling of components of the Enterprise | defined for roles involved with modeling; Enterprise Architect, | defined for roles involved with enterprise management. Expert | and evaluates the model product quality of other modelers. |
| | | | or System. | SE, PM, IT, modelers, etc Novice Modelers on full Enterprise | model development lead with experience practicing modeling on | |
| | | | | or System -subsystem models. | at least 1 project that successfully completed at least 3 major | |
| | | | | | technical reviews that used models in support of the review. | |
| Vorkforce/ culture | Modeling Use skills | None, or ad hoc for all staff. | Can generate teal standard digital artifacts as needed to | Can generate tool custom digital artifacts as needed to evaluate | Can generate sustem digital artifacts across teals, models, and | Can contribute to defining the enterprise, system, and other d |
| voikioice/ culture | WOUCHING USE SKIIIS | | Can generate tool standard digital artifacts as needed to evaluate the Enterprise or System. | the Enterprise or System. | Can generate custom digital artifacts across tools, models, and data sets to evaluate the Enterprise or System. | needed by the complete team to perform analysis for IPTs, |
| | | | | | | reviews, audits, and other technical and programmatic |
| | | | | | | decisions. |
| Workforce/ culture | Modeling-related Training/KSA | No training or development activities. | Tool familiarity training completed. Initial experience to perform | Modeling or model users experience on specific tools with | Demonstrating role capabilities using the models, coaching, and | Provide leadership in proposing, designing, and delivering |
| | development | | their modeler or user roles. | respect to their role as a user or modeler. | instructing others. | training that is appropriate for the modeling and user roles. |
| SE Processes/ Methodology | | Modeling is not incorporated as part of the agreement | Given a clear business case, modeling is applied in an ad hoc | Given a clear business case, modeling is applied in a consistent | Consistent model business case descriptions are being | Consistent model business case driven planning guidance is i |
| | | processes. | manner across projects or programs. | manner across projects or programs. | practiced across an enterprise. | place and is being practiced across an enterprise. |
| SE Processes/ Methodology | SE Organizational Project-Enabling | Modeling is not incorporated as part of the Organizational | Given a clear business case, modeling is applied in an ad hoc | Given a clear business case, modeling is applied in a consistent | | Consistent model business case driven planning guidance is |
| | Processes | Project Enabling processes. | manner across projects or programs. | manner across projects or programs. | practiced across an enterprise. | place and is being practiced across an enterprise. |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | r | Free Street Stre |
| SE Processes/ Methodology | SE Technical Management Processes | Modeling is not incorporated as part of the Technical | Modeling is part of the processes to improve quality and models | Modeling is the basis for the processes. Digital artifacts are | Modeling is the basis for the processes and is used to optimize | Modeling is the basis for the processes and is used to optimiz |
| | - | Management processes. | contribute to the authoritative source of truth. | used to make SE Technical Management decisions. | results across the project or program. | results across the enterprise. |
| | | | | | | |
| SE Processes/ Methodology | Model Configuration Management | Model Configuration management is ad hoc. | Model configuration management is an assigned role. | Model configuration management adheres to a standard. | Model configuration management is applied to all models for a | Model configuration management is applied to all models for a |
| | | | | | system. | enterprise. |
| SE Processes/ Methodology | Model Data Management | Model Data Management is ad hoc. | Model data management is an assigned role. | Model data management adheres to a standard. | Model data management is applied to all models for a system. | Model data management is applied to all models for an |
| | | | | | | enterprise. |
| SE Processes/ Methodology | SE Technical Processes | Modeling is not incorporated as part of the Technical processes. | Modeling is part of the processes to improve quality and models | Modeling is the basis for the processes with digital threads | Modeling is the basis for the processes with digital threads | Modeling is the basis for the processes with digital threads |
| | | | contribute to the authoritative source of truth. | covering some of the processes. Digital artifacts are used to | covering all selected processes. Digital artifacts and digital twins | covering all processes. Digital artifacts, and digital twins are |
| | | | | make SE decisions. | are used to make SE decisions. | used to make SE decisions. |
| SE Processes/ Methodology | Modeling Stakeholder Requirements | Stakeholder requirements are not modeled. | Stakeholder requirements are in a requirements management | | Enterprise and system stakeholder requirements are bi | Stakeholder requirements are traceable across enterprises. |
| | | | tool. | enterprise and system models and are bi directional traceable. | directional traceable. | |
| | | | | The requirements are linked model data that provide digital | | |
| | | | | artifacts spanning the life cycle and depth of design information. | | |
| CE Dragonaci Mathadalari | Madel Decad) (arification and) (alidation | No plan for varifying an validating you vice monto in the models | Dian far you'f ving and validating requirements in the models | Verification and validation plan valida on model contents and | Madaling davalanment processes, have been astablished | Madaling development processors have been established |
| SE Processes/ Methodology | Model-Based vernication and validation | No plan for verifying or validating requirements in the models. | Plan for verifying and validating requirements in the models. | Verification and validation plan relies on model contents and | Modeling development processes have been established, | Modeling development processes have been established, |
| | | | | analysis via requirements "analysis." | modeling patterns, styles, and standards have been defined, | modeling patterns, styles, and standards have been defined, and standard V&V procedures and programs have been |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | and standard V&V procedures and programs have been | |
| Program/ Project Processes | SE driven Model Dian | No documented MRSE plan | Models are developed for parts of the system engineering or | Full System/Enterprise Models are developed and applied | formulated. | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) |
| Program/ Project Processes | SE-driven Model Plan | No documented MBSE plan. | Models are developed for parts of the system engineering or | Full System/Enterprise Models are developed and applied | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin |
| Program/ Project Processes ethodology | SE-driven Model Plan | No documented MBSE plan. | enterprise engineering processes or for only parts of the life | variously across the product life cycle and across Systems | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. Consistent tool coverage and use within separate Systems | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin Organizations across the enterprise. Multiple enterprise mode |
| 0, | SE-driven Model Plan | No documented MBSE plan. | enterprise engineering processes or for only parts of the life cycle. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources | variously across the product life cycle and across Systems Engineering organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. Consistent tool coverage and use within separate Systems Engineering Organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin Organizations across the enterprise. Multiple enterprise mode are interfaced within or across mission areas. Appropriate tool |
| o , | SE-driven Model Plan | No documented MBSE plan. | enterprise engineering processes or for only parts of the life | variously across the product life cycle and across Systems | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. Consistent tool coverage and use within separate Systems | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin Organizations across the enterprise. Multiple enterprise mode |
| thodology | | | enterprise engineering processes or for only parts of the life cycle. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. | variously across the product life cycle and across Systems Engineering organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. Consistent tool coverage and use within separate Systems Engineering Organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin Organizations across the enterprise. Multiple enterprise mode are interfaced within or across mission areas. Appropriate too environments, methods, and resources are provided. |
| ethodology Program/ Project Processes | Model Based Reviews; Management | Reviews are not model based. Review and audit is set by | enterprise engineering processes or for only parts of the life cycle. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources | variously across the product life cycle and across Systems Engineering organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. Consistent tool coverage and use within separate Systems Engineering Organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review and audit is set by model data and information | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin Organizations across the enterprise. Multiple enterprise mode are interfaced within or across mission areas. Appropriate too environments, methods, and resources are provided. Enterprise organizations coordinate on common review criteria |
| ethodology Program/ Project Processes ethodology | Model Based Reviews; Management Program Reviews /MPR(s), Milestone | Reviews are not model based. Review and audit is set by calendar date against a contract event such as contract award. | enterprise engineering processes or for only parts of the life cycle. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Identification of model-based digital artifacts to satisfy entry/exit criteria. Model results called out explicitly as products with | variously across the product life cycle and across Systems Engineering organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review process is still a scheduled event with known entrance | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. Consistent tool coverage and use within separate Systems Engineering Organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review and audit is set by model data and information availability. Review process allows for more flexible reviews so | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin Organizations across the enterprise. Multiple enterprise mode are interfaced within or across mission areas. Appropriate too environments, methods, and resources are provided. Enterprise organizations coordinate on common review criteria application, tailoring, and the use of specific digital artifacts to |
| ethodology Program/ Project Processes ethodology | Model Based Reviews; Management | Reviews are not model based. Review and audit is set by | enterprise engineering processes or for only parts of the life cycle. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Identification of model-based digital artifacts to satisfy entry/exit | variously across the product life cycle and across Systems Engineering organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review process is still a scheduled event with known entrance and exit criteria as well as frozen baselines. Use of digital artifacts allow for some criteria items to be addressed prior to | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. Consistent tool coverage and use within separate Systems Engineering Organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review and audit is set by model data and information | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin Organizations across the enterprise. Multiple enterprise mode are interfaced within or across mission areas. Appropriate too environments, methods, and resources are provided. Enterprise organizations coordinate on common review criteri application, tailoring, and the use of specific digital artifacts to meet specific criteria. Models record the acceptance of criteria |
| ethodology Program/ Project Processes ethodology | Model Based Reviews; Management Program Reviews /MPR(s), Milestone reviews, program reviews, technical | Reviews are not model based. Review and audit is set by calendar date against a contract event such as contract award. Digital artifacts aren't planned for use to satisfy entry/exit | enterprise engineering processes or for only parts of the life cycle. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Identification of model-based digital artifacts to satisfy entry/exit criteria. Model results called out explicitly as products with defined product quality. Use of digital artifacts allow for some | variously across the product life cycle and across Systems Engineering organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review process is still a scheduled event with known entrance and exit criteria as well as frozen baselines. Use of digital | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. Consistent tool coverage and use within separate Systems Engineering Organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review and audit is set by model data and information availability. Review process allows for more flexible reviews so that some criteria are acknowledged and accomplished before | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin Organizations across the enterprise. Multiple enterprise mode are interfaced within or across mission areas. Appropriate tool environments, methods, and resources are provided. Enterprise organizations coordinate on common review criteria application, tailoring, and the use of specific digital artifacts to meet specific criteria. Models record the acceptance of criteria items. Rolling, frequent reviews of model contents of identified |
| ethodology Program/ Project Processes ethodology | Model Based Reviews; Management Program Reviews /MPR(s), Milestone reviews, program reviews, technical | Reviews are not model based. Review and audit is set by calendar date against a contract event such as contract award. Digital artifacts aren't planned for use to satisfy entry/exit | enterprise engineering processes or for only parts of the life cycle. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Identification of model-based digital artifacts to satisfy entry/exit criteria. Model results called out explicitly as products with defined product quality. Use of digital artifacts allow for some | variously across the product life cycle and across Systems Engineering organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review process is still a scheduled event with known entrance and exit criteria as well as frozen baselines. Use of digital artifacts allow for some criteria items to be addressed prior to the event. Model-based digital artifacts to satisfy criteria along | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. Consistent tool coverage and use within separate Systems Engineering Organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review and audit is set by model data and information availability. Review process allows for more flexible reviews so that some criteria are acknowledged and accomplished before the scheduled review. Predominantly model-based digital | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin Organizations across the enterprise. Multiple enterprise mode are interfaced within or across mission areas. Appropriate too environments, methods, and resources are provided. Enterprise organizations coordinate on common review criteri application, tailoring, and the use of specific digital artifacts to meet specific criteria. Models record the acceptance of criteria items. Rolling, frequent reviews of model contents of identifie "Knowledge Points" allow stakeholders to accept that the revi |
| ethodology Program/ Project Processes ethodology | Model Based Reviews; Management Program Reviews /MPR(s), Milestone reviews, program reviews, technical | Reviews are not model based. Review and audit is set by calendar date against a contract event such as contract award. Digital artifacts aren't planned for use to satisfy entry/exit | enterprise engineering processes or for only parts of the life cycle. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Identification of model-based digital artifacts to satisfy entry/exit criteria. Model results called out explicitly as products with defined product quality. Use of digital artifacts allow for some | variously across the product life cycle and across Systems Engineering organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review process is still a scheduled event with known entrance and exit criteria as well as frozen baselines. Use of digital artifacts allow for some criteria items to be addressed prior to the event. Model-based digital artifacts to satisfy criteria along with linked narrative. Model content is identified that satisfies | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. Consistent tool coverage and use within separate Systems Engineering Organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review and audit is set by model data and information availability. Review process allows for more flexible reviews so that some criteria are acknowledged and accomplished before the scheduled review. Predominantly model-based digital artifacts with as-needed documents to satisfy criteria with linked | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin Organizations across the enterprise. Multiple enterprise mode are interfaced within or across mission areas. Appropriate too environments, methods, and resources are provided. Enterprise organizations coordinate on common review criteri application, tailoring, and the use of specific digital artifacts to meet specific criteria. Models record the acceptance of criteria items. Rolling, frequent reviews of model contents of identifie "Knowledge Points" allow stakeholders to accept that the revi |
| ethodology Program/ Project Processes ethodology | Model Based Reviews; Management Program Reviews /MPR(s), Milestone reviews, program reviews, technical reviews, audits | Reviews are not model based. Review and audit is set by calendar date against a contract event such as contract award. Digital artifacts aren't planned for use to satisfy entry/exit | enterprise engineering processes or for only parts of the life cycle. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Identification of model-based digital artifacts to satisfy entry/exit criteria. Model results called out explicitly as products with defined product quality. Use of digital artifacts allow for some criteria items to be addressed prior to the event. | variously across the product life cycle and across Systems Engineering organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review process is still a scheduled event with known entrance and exit criteria as well as frozen baselines. Use of digital artifacts allow for some criteria items to be addressed prior to the event. Model-based digital artifacts to satisfy criteria along with linked narrative. Model content is identified that satisfies criteria are linked to external list of criteria (e.g., hyperlink to | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. Consistent tool coverage and use within separate Systems Engineering Organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review and audit is set by model data and information availability. Review process allows for more flexible reviews so that some criteria are acknowledged and accomplished before the scheduled review. Predominantly model-based digital artifacts with as-needed documents to satisfy criteria with linked | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin Organizations across the enterprise. Multiple enterprise mode are interfaced within or across mission areas. Appropriate tool environments, methods, and resources are provided. Enterprise organizations coordinate on common review criteria application, tailoring, and the use of specific digital artifacts to meet specific criteria. Models record the acceptance of criteria |
| Program/ Project Processes thodology | Model Based Reviews; Management Program Reviews /MPR(s), Milestone reviews, program reviews, technical reviews, audits | Reviews are not model based. Review and audit is set by calendar date against a contract event such as contract award. Digital artifacts aren't planned for use to satisfy entry/exit criteria. | enterprise engineering processes or for only parts of the life cycle. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Identification of model-based digital artifacts to satisfy entry/exit criteria. Model results called out explicitly as products with defined product quality. Use of digital artifacts allow for some criteria items to be addressed prior to the event. | variously across the product life cycle and across Systems Engineering organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review process is still a scheduled event with known entrance and exit criteria as well as frozen baselines. Use of digital artifacts allow for some criteria items to be addressed prior to the event. Model-based digital artifacts to satisfy criteria along with linked narrative. Model content is identified that satisfies criteria are linked to external list of criteria (e.g., hyperlink to Word doc). | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. Consistent tool coverage and use within separate Systems Engineering Organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review and audit is set by model data and information availability. Review process allows for more flexible reviews so that some criteria are acknowledged and accomplished before the scheduled review. Predominantly model-based digital artifacts with as-needed documents to satisfy criteria with linked narrative. | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin Organizations across the enterprise. Multiple enterprise mode are interfaced within or across mission areas. Appropriate tool environments, methods, and resources are provided. Enterprise organizations coordinate on common review criteria application, tailoring, and the use of specific digital artifacts to meet specific criteria. Models record the acceptance of criteria items. Rolling, frequent reviews of model contents of identified "Knowledge Points" allow stakeholders to accept that the revie is complete for that knowledge point whenever the exit criteria met. |
| Program/ Project Processes thodology | Model Based Reviews; Management Program Reviews /MPR(s), Milestone reviews, program reviews, technical reviews, audits | Reviews are not model based. Review and audit is set by calendar date against a contract event such as contract award. Digital artifacts aren't planned for use to satisfy entry/exit criteria. Metrics are not used to manage the model development, quality, | enterprise engineering processes or for only parts of the life cycle. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Identification of model-based digital artifacts to satisfy entry/exit criteria. Model results called out explicitly as products with defined product quality. Use of digital artifacts allow for some criteria items to be addressed prior to the event. Available metrics are reported from the various modeling tools | variously across the product life cycle and across Systems Engineering organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review process is still a scheduled event with known entrance and exit criteria as well as frozen baselines. Use of digital artifacts allow for some criteria items to be addressed prior to the event. Model-based digital artifacts to satisfy criteria along with linked narrative. Model content is identified that satisfies criteria are linked to external list of criteria (e.g., hyperlink to Word doc). Metrics, beyond those available from the tool configuration, are | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. Consistent tool coverage and use within separate Systems Engineering Organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review and audit is set by model data and information availability. Review process allows for more flexible reviews so that some criteria are acknowledged and accomplished before the scheduled review. Predominantly model-based digital artifacts with as-needed documents to satisfy criteria with linked narrative. Metrics are used to manage the model development, quality, or effectiveness for a system or enterprise. | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin Organizations across the enterprise. Multiple enterprise mode are interfaced within or across mission areas. Appropriate too environments, methods, and resources are provided. Enterprise organizations coordinate on common review criteria application, tailoring, and the use of specific digital artifacts to meet specific criteria. Models record the acceptance of criteria items. Rolling, frequent reviews of model contents of identified "Knowledge Points" allow stakeholders to accept that the revi is complete for that knowledge point whenever the exit criteria met. Consistent metrics are used across the enterprise to manage |
| ethodology Program/ Project Processes ethodology | Model Based Reviews; Management Program Reviews /MPR(s), Milestone reviews, program reviews, technical reviews, audits Model Metrics | Reviews are not model based. Review and audit is set by calendar date against a contract event such as contract award. Digital artifacts aren't planned for use to satisfy entry/exit criteria. Metrics are not used to manage the model development, quality, | enterprise engineering processes or for only parts of the life cycle. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Identification of model-based digital artifacts to satisfy entry/exit criteria. Model results called out explicitly as products with defined product quality. Use of digital artifacts allow for some criteria items to be addressed prior to the event. Available metrics are reported from the various modeling tools | variously across the product life cycle and across Systems Engineering organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review process is still a scheduled event with known entrance and exit criteria as well as frozen baselines. Use of digital artifacts allow for some criteria items to be addressed prior to the event. Model-based digital artifacts to satisfy criteria along with linked narrative. Model content is identified that satisfies criteria are linked to external list of criteria (e.g., hyperlink to Word doc). Metrics, beyond those available from the tool configuration, are reported to address model development, quality, and | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. Consistent tool coverage and use within separate Systems Engineering Organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review and audit is set by model data and information availability. Review process allows for more flexible reviews so that some criteria are acknowledged and accomplished before the scheduled review. Predominantly model-based digital artifacts with as-needed documents to satisfy criteria with linked narrative. Metrics are used to manage the model development, quality, or effectiveness for a system or enterprise. | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin Organizations across the enterprise. Multiple enterprise mode are interfaced within or across mission areas. Appropriate too environments, methods, and resources are provided. Enterprise organizations coordinate on common review criteri application, tailoring, and the use of specific digital artifacts to meet specific criteria. Models record the acceptance of criteria items. Rolling, frequent reviews of model contents of identifie "Knowledge Points" allow stakeholders to accept that the revi is complete for that knowledge point whenever the exit criteria met. Consistent metrics are used across the enterprise to manage the model development, quality, or effectiveness with trend information kept and decision making thresholds established. |
| ethodology Program/ Project Processes ethodology Program/ Project Processes ethodology | Model Based Reviews; Management Program Reviews /MPR(s), Milestone reviews, program reviews, technical reviews, audits Model Metrics | Reviews are not model based. Review and audit is set by calendar date against a contract event such as contract award. Digital artifacts aren't planned for use to satisfy entry/exit criteria. Metrics are not used to manage the model development, quality, or effectiveness. | enterprise engineering processes or for only parts of the life cycle. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Identification of model-based digital artifacts to satisfy entry/exit criteria. Model results called out explicitly as products with defined product quality. Use of digital artifacts allow for some criteria items to be addressed prior to the event. Available metrics are reported from the various modeling tools used. | variously across the product life cycle and across Systems Engineering organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review process is still a scheduled event with known entrance and exit criteria as well as frozen baselines. Use of digital artifacts allow for some criteria items to be addressed prior to the event. Model-based digital artifacts to satisfy criteria along with linked narrative. Model content is identified that satisfies criteria are linked to external list of criteria (e.g., hyperlink to Word doc). Metrics, beyond those available from the tool configuration, are reported to address model development, quality, and effectiveness needs. | formulated. Multiple System Models are integrated for the enterprise. Consistent tool coverage and use within separate Systems Engineering Organizations. Appropriate tools, environments, methods, and resources are provided. Review and audit is set by model data and information availability. Review process allows for more flexible reviews so that some criteria are acknowledged and accomplished before the scheduled review. Predominantly model-based digital artifacts with as-needed documents to satisfy criteria with linked narrative. Metrics are used to manage the model development, quality, or effectiveness for a system or enterprise. Integration across systems models for a project/program use the | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools) Consistent tool coverage within separate Systems Engineerin Organizations across the enterprise. Multiple enterprise mode are interfaced within or across mission areas. Appropriate too environments, methods, and resources are provided. Enterprise organizations coordinate on common review criteri application, tailoring, and the use of specific digital artifacts to meet specific criteria. Models record the acceptance of criteria items. Rolling, frequent reviews of model contents of identifie "Knowledge Points" allow stakeholders to accept that the revi is complete for that knowledge point whenever the exit criteria met. Consistent metrics are used across the enterprise to manage the model development, quality, or effectiveness with trend information kept and decision making thresholds established. |



INCOSE Model-Based Capabilities Matrix and User's Guide Role-Based MBCM

| Role Based Matrix Area | Model-Based Capability Name | Stage 0 | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 | Stage 4 |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 4. Model Based Effectiveness | Verification and Validation of Models | The organization has not stated model objectives no basis for | The organization has stated model objectives but not model | Model objectives and some general model requirements have | Model objectives and some detailed model requirements for | Modeling development processes have been established, |
| | | verification and validation of the models. | requirements. Partial V&V evaluation of the resultant model is | been stated. Plans for V&V evaluation of the model traceable to | specific models have been stated. V&V evaluation of the | modeling patterns, styles, and standards have been defined, |
| | | | possible. | the model requirements have been made. | models traceable to the model requirements is planned and | and standard V&V procedures and programs have been |
| | | | | | includes V&V of modeling patterns, styles and standards, as | formulated (including associated automated scripts and tools). |
| | | | | | well as having defined procedures. | V&V of the models is performed and updates to the models |
| | | | | | | made. |
| . Model Based Effectiveness | Modeling Assurance | Model Assurance is not considered. | Model assurance is defined with known scales and methods. | Model assurance targets are identified in association with the effort schedule and cost. | Model assurance measurement and corrective actions are conducted for projects/programs. | Model assurance measurement and corrective actions are conducted for the enterprise. |
| . Model Based Effectiveness | Authoritative Source of Truth (ASOT) | Data and information hasn't been identified to contribute to the | Necessary data and information has been identified to | Data and Information are discoverable from specific models to | Data and information provide knowledge to specific decision | Data and information are discoverable to provide knowledge to |
| | | authoritative source of truth. | contribute to the authoritative source of truth. | address specific queries across parts of the enterprise. | makers across parts of the life cycle and across parts of the enterprise. | strategic to near real-time decision makers across the life cycle and across the enterprise. |
| . Model Based Effectiveness | Digital Threads | Digital threads have not been identified. | Digital threads have been identified. | Digital threads have been established for limited | Digital threads have been established contributing to the | Digital threads have been established contributing to the |
| | | | | program/projects across the enterprise. | authoritative source of truth for limited programs/projects across the enterprise. | authoritative source of truth for an enterprise. |
| . Model Based Effectiveness | Digital Twin | Digital twins have not been identified or established. | Digital twin (DT) types have been identified; E.g., (DT Prototype, DT Instance, DT Aggregate, DT Environment). | Digital twin types have been established; E.g., (DT Prototype, DT Instance, DT Aggregate, DT Environment). | Digital twin types are effectively used to make decisions for limited programs/projects across an enterprise. | Digital twin types are effectively used for an enterprise. |
| . Model Based Effectiveness | Digital Artifacts | Hardcopy or business application (e.g., MS Word) generated | Documents incorporate digital artifacts such as model generated | | Program/Project uses digital artifacts to make decisions. | Enterprise decisions are based on tool and user defined digital |
| | | documents are not based on digital artifacts. | views. | make decisions. | | artifacts to make decisions. |
| . Information Technology | Modeling Tool Access | Model access is based on desktop access. | Access to models are based on IT login. | Access to models are based on role-based permissions. | Model access permissions are shared within a project/program. | Model access permissions are shared within an enterprise. |
| Infrastructure | Madel Deced Table is a size & Assess | News collectored | Teel liseness and second address and siGs are is at a new more | Test listen and second and second for second second | Orates wide liseness areas for a surrough word to de | I is such as well as we with the the secler and as second as the line of the |
| 5. Information Technology nfrastructure | Model Based Tool Licensing & Access | None or Unmanaged. | Tool licenses and access address specific project or program needs. | Tool licenses and access are considered for new projects or programs. | Center-wide license access for commonly used tools. | License count appropriate to the role, and access-controlled by role. |
| 5. Information Technology | Collaboration capabilities | Collaboration by business tool applications (e.g., E-mail, | System Model File Exchange is identified and used. | Various organizations working on different parts of model. | On-line, real-time collaboration amongst distributed | On-line, real-time collaboration amongst distributed teams for ar |
| Infrastructure | | telecom.). | | Models are integrated by a single organization. | project/program teams. | enterprise. |
| 6. Modeling Tool Construction | Model Management | Model management is ad hoc. | Model management is an assigned role. | Model management adheres to a standard or to a defined approach. | Model management is applied to all models for a system. | Model management is applied to all models for an enterprise. |
| 6. Modeling Tool Construction | Distributed Database/Tool | No interoperability between model based tools. | Model Based Tool-to-Tool has ad hoc interoperability. | Partial Federated Database Management System (FDBMS). | Main tools interoperable. Supporting tools interact through file | Fully Federated with standard "plug-and-play" interfaces. Data is |
| Modeling Tool Construction | interoperability Model Based Data/Tool Independences | Date/Tool independences are not considered and date is usually | Deta/Teal independences are considered and planned to | Data/Tool implementations independences are managed to | transfer. | interchanged among tools. Data is independent of tools and allows for portability. |
| b. Modelling Tool Construction | Model Based Data/Tool Independences | E Data/Tool independences are not considered and data is usually resident in the tool or tool directed default directories. | / Data/Tool independences are considered and planned to enhance data independence from tools. | allow data to be independent from tools. | Data/Tool implementations independences are managed to allow data to be independent from tools and allow import/export to foster data portability. | Data is independent of tools and allows for portability. |
| 6. Modeling Tool Construction | Inter-Database/Tool Data Item | Databases/tools are independent. | Inter-Database/Tool Data Item associations defined. | Inter-Database/Tool Data Item associations defined, captured, | Inter-Database/Tool Data Item associations among all data | Inter-Database/Tool Data Item associations among all data |
| | Associations | | | managed. | | items defined, captured, managed, and traceable where changes in one data source alerts owners of other data sources of intended updates. |
| 6. Modeling Tool Construction | Modeling Methods | Models use any method to solve specific problems. The overall | Modeling methods are planned for efforts within a project. Some | Modeling methods are planned for efforts within a portfolio and | Modeling methods are planned for efforts within a portfolio and | Structured methods are planned and reused. |
| | | approach is not structured for consistency. | structure is applied. | are consistent as possible for each project/program. Best practices are evolving; structuring patterns emerge. | are consistent as possible across projects/programs within an enterprise. Best practices converge; patterns are captured and | |
| | | | | | reused. | |
| 6. Modeling Tool Construction | Model Languages | Model languages are used ad hoc to solve specific problems, but there is no plan to ensure consistency across a | Model languages are used ad hoc to solve specific problems, with a plan to ensure consistency across a program/project. | Model languages are consistent across a program/project. | Model languages are carefully chosen to unify the program/project, enable libraries, and enable reuse. | Model languages are carefully chosen to unify the enterprise, enable libraries, and enable reuse. |
| 6. Modeling Tool Construction | Model Libraries | program/project. Model libraries have not been identified. | Project specific model libraries are established. | Cross project model libraries are established. | Plan to leverage Project model libraries to establish curated and | Project model libraries are established and shared across an |
| - | | | | | shared libraries for an enterprise. | enterprise in a curated manner. |
| 6. Modeling Tool Construction | User Interface (UI), Viewpoint/Views, | Models are not used to identify or define the user interface or | Models allow the definition of the user interface, document | Models allow the definition of the user interface, document | | UI supports Interrogation across the federated enterprise |
| | and visualization | view/viewpoints. | generation, generation of views/viewpoints. | generation, generation of views/viewpoints and custom visualization. | Authoritative source of truth and provides visualizations for decision making. | Authoritative source of truth and provides visualizations for decision making. |
| 6. Modeling Tool Construction | Simulation Capability | Simulation level of value to the project not considered. | Value of simulation capability considered but not explored. | Where appropriate, simulation capability utilized on a small | Where appropriate, simulation capability utilized but not fully | All aspects of simulation are being utilized where appropriate |
| · | | | | scale but not fully utilized. | exploited. | including both simulation capabilities embedded in the tool and external simulations linked to MBSE artifacts. |
| 6. Modeling Tool Construction | Modeling Process quality | Modeling processes have not been identified/established. | Modeling is a parallel process to engineering processes and is used to demonstrate potential modeling benefits. | Modeling is the basis-of and integral-to engineering processes quality. | Modeling enables processes to be re-engineered to minimize steps, increasing timeliness, while preserving product guality. | Re-engineered modeling processes provide measurable improvements across the enterprise. |
| 7. Model Use | MBSE Institutional Adoption (e.g., | Some parts of the institution have adopted MBSE (e.g., new | MBSE adoption by the Systems Engineering or Enterprise | Common implementation basis across institution with the intent | | Consistent institutional approach is driven by policy, practices |
| | agency, service, center, business unit) | programs/initiative, pilot programs, and business case driven) | Organization. | to apply enterprise and system models. | | and methods across organizations. Variations are allowed as |
| | for Digital Engineering | for a segment of the enterprise work: e.g. requirements management architecture, design, manufacturing, | | | coverage and use across organizations. | appropriate for specific needs. Consistent tool coverage and use across organizations. |
| . Model Use | MBSE Technical Innovation Process | Organization only upgrades tools, environment, and methods as | Organization commissions work to review its technology with the | Organization has a defined role for technology innovation to | Organization has a defined role for technology innovation to | Organization participates in tool vendor improvement forums, |
| | | driven by vendors and as standards are created or updated. | purpose to set direction and adopt new technologies. | research and propose new technology adoption. | research and propose new technology adoption. Goals are set, | setting technological innovation through research, and involvement with standards setting bodies. |
| 7. Model Use | Enabling Technologies | IT Infrastructure is static and not aware. | IT conducts ad hoc research and application of enabling tools | IT aware of current trends/practices and uses roadmaps to | Applying processes to examine and anticipate how technology | Mature process to continuously examine and anticipate how |
| | | | and technologies. | enhance capabilities. | can be used and solve problems. | technology can be used to solve problems. |
| 3. Modeling Policy | Intellectual Property (IP) | There is no policy covering the IP of model content. | There is policy covering the IP of model content. | The IP policy is applied to the model content. | Models across an project/program apply the IP policy to model content in the same way. | Models across enterprises apply a common IP policy to model contents in the same way. |
| Modeling Policy | Tool Governance | There is no tool governance policy. | There is tool governance policy. | Programs/projects apply the tool governance policy. | Program/projects within an enterprise consistenly apply the | Program/projects across related enterprises consistenly apply |
| | | | | | same tool governance policy. | the same tool governance policy. |

